## SCCM Choosing Wisely KEG meeting September 16, 2020

## Value Improvement Initiatives to Reduce Low-Value Testing

Open / announcements:

Remember to follow #choosingwiselyICU on Twitter.

The session proposal submitted by Dr Reddy, "Choosing Wisely in Critical Care - How to succeed in Implementing Less is More" was chosen for the 2021 virtual Critical Care Congress.

Speaker: Mike Tchou, MD, MSc Children's Hospital Colorado @TchouMD

Slides are available on SCCM Connect - Choosing Wisely KEG website

- Dr Tchou opened by discussing value and introduced the Value Improvement Project
- Several resources on High-value Testing are available:
  - HVPAA Value Improvement Blueprints
    - https://hvpaa.org/blueprints
  - Choosing Wisely
    - https://www.choosingwisely.org
  - Link to value resources
    - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1wQxrn8viaPR OBElwz4lykglUVQgeHTJ W36M1j7OZVM/edit?usp=sharing
    - This is an ongoing list of value resources maintained by Dr Tchou. Reach out via
       Twitter if you come across a valuable resource to add to the list
- A process map showing what leads to overuse of routine tests was presented. Main reasons unnecessary testing may be ordered include:
  - High frequency → multiple chances to order inappropriately
  - Perceived as low-cost → not high on our "value radar"
  - Easy to order repeatedly → daily testing puts onus on us to cancel
  - Often in panels → easy to order more than what you need

Reducing Point-of-care Blood Gas Testing in the Intensive Care Unit through Diagnostic Stewardship: A value Improvement Project

- Focus on overuse of POC testing in situations where central laboratory testing would be equivalent. Target: reduce number of PICU POC blood gas tests by 20% in 6 months
- Key Drivers included: provider awareness of cost differential, POC vs In-lab, staff confidence of Send-down test TAT, standardized process for determining POC vs Send-down
- Interventions included: Faculty/resident/fellow/nursing education; measurement of TAT for Send-down blood gas testing
- Overall outcome measure: started at approx. 1 lab POC test per day; saw an overall reduction of 50% by study end
- Secondary outcome: also saw reduction in blood gas utilization overall. Questions if POC testing easier, therefore ordered in situations where it wasn't high value. Consider change in practice to Send-down possibly resulted in more judicious utilization

Reducing Electrolyte Testing in Hospitalized Children by Using Quality Improvement Methods

- Focus on overuse of repeated electrolyte testing in low-risk situations and overuse of panels when single electrolyte tests may be equivalent quality. Non-ICU population
- Goal to reduce electrolyte lab draws by 25%
- Key drivers included: knowledge of cost of testing, discussion of test value / necessity of testing, awareness of laboratory testing plan, EMR design for test orders, staff buy-in
- Interventions included: education on test value, feedback on lab ordering/overall project measure, cost/charge reference cards, lab plan clearly documented in notes, change orientation materials to clearly focus on highest charge panel.
- Resulted in 29% reduction in charge leading to estimated \$292,000 charge savings over 9
  months
- Highest charge panel went from 70% to 20%

## Closing / Discussion:

- Both projects were successful with very minimal changes to EMR; however, EMR adaptations may be helpful in sustaining change
- EMR change proposals that may be helpful include alerts to notify provider when lab tests are ordered multiple days in a row. Most examples tend to occur on the front-end by limiting what you can do when ordering lab tests
- Maya highlighted another key component of the POC project resulted in RT being able to change the way they staff. Enabling this group to use their time better was key in the success of the project
- Brian discussed how getting the patient charges to the ordering provider was helpful to give perspective on the magnitude of difference in lab panels
- Question for Dr Tchou as to if they were able to measure effect of abnormal labs and downstream effect of these. This was considered but not performed
- Question for Dr Tchou as to whether there was resistance to these changes? These initiatives were at a time where there was a lot of emphasis on increasing value across the organization. These projects did not meet high level resistance